

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/911,730

Applicant(s)

LOCHER ET AL.

Examiner

Jeff H. Aftergut

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.(2) Abraham Ronai.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

9-29


Prior art documents discussed:

*all***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the prior art of record did not teach the use of an air flow being oriented alternately at an angle toward the filament sheet as viewed in the horizontal plane as set forth in Figure 3 of the specification. Requested per an examiner's amendment that applicant cancel the non-elected claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of these claims..